1	PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION Stephen E. Horan, SBN 125241 David R. Norton, SBN 291448 350 University Avenue, Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95825 TEL: 916.929.1481		
2			
3			
4			
5	FAX: 916.927.3706		
6	Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF TEHAMA and DAVE HENCRATT		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9			
10	HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER,	Case No.: 2:20-cv-00359-WBS-DMC	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
12	v.	CONTINUE THE HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
13	COUNTY OF TEHAMA; DAVE	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	
14	HENCRATT, Sheriff, individually and in his	Complaint Filed: 02/14/20	
15	official capacity; and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-10, Staff, individually and in their		
16	official capacities,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	This Stipulation is entered into by and between Plaintiff HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENSE CENTER		
20	("Plaintiff") and Defendants COUNTY OF TEHAMA and DAVE HENCRATT ("Defendants")		
21	(collectively, "The Parties") by and through their respective counsel.		
22	WHEREAS, a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is currently set for April 6,		
23	2020 at 1:30 p.m.;		
24	WHEREAS, Defendants recently retained counsel to defend them in this matter;		
25	WHEREAS, Defendants' opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is due on		
26	March 23, 2020;		
27	WHEREAS, the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted Defendants' and their counsel's ability to		
28	investigate the allegations in Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and prepare an opposition;		
	{02178220.DOCX} 1		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION		

1 WHEREAS, the parties are engaged in settlement negotiations that may obviate the need for 2 litigation; 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Plaintiff and the Defendants, by and 4 through their respective counsels of record, that good cause exists to continue the date of the hearing on 5 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction to May 4, 2020 at 1:30 p.m., and that opposition and reply briefing be due on April 20, 2020 and April 27, 2020, respectively. 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 Dated: 03/19/20 PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 9 10 By /s/ David R. Norton Stephen E. Horan 11 David R. Norton 12 Attorneys for Defendants 13 Dated: 03/19/20 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 14 15 By /s/Sanford Jay Rosen (authorized 03/18/2020) 16 Jeffrey L. Bornstein Ernest Galvan 17 Lisa Ells 18 Benjamin Bien-Kahn Attorneys for Plaintiff 19 20 **ORDER** 21 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is 22 dropped from calendar. The deadline for the required Opposition is continued to April 20, 2020 and the 23 deadline for the required Reply is continued to April 27, 2020. If no evidentiary hearing is required, the 24 court will then take the motion under consideration. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Is shipe **Dated: March 19, 2020** 27 WILLIAM B. SHUBB 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE {02178220.DOCX}

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION